## रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

: आयुक्त (अपील -I) का कार्यालय, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, : : सैन्टल एक्साइज भवन, सातवीं मंजिल, पौलिटैक्नीक के पास, : : आंबावाडी, अहमदाबाद— 380015. :

	R 중 본 R 도 본 보 본 문 및 및 내 및 도 로 로 로 로 보 보 보 보 보 되 다 다 된 중 중 로 트 로 보 되 되 되 되 되 되 되 되 되 되 되 되 되 되 되 되 되 되
क	फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(39)45 /Ahd-III/2015-16/Appeal-I
ख	अपील आदेश संख्या :Order-in-Appeal No.: <u>AHM-EXCUS-003-APP-006-16-17</u>
	दिनाँक Date : <u>26.04.2016</u> जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue 4/5/16
	<u>श्री उमाशंकर</u> आयुक्त (अपील-I) द्वारा पारित
	Passed by Shri Uma Shanker Commissioner (Appeals-I)Ahmedabad
ग	आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, अहमदाबाद-। आयुक्तालय द्वारा जारी मूल आदेश सं से सृजित
	Arising out of Order-in-Original: 15/CE/REF/DC/2015-16 Date: 16.06.2015 Issued by: Deputy Commissioner, Central Excise, Din: Kalol, A'bad-III.
ध	अपीलकर्ता एवं प्रतिवादी का नाम एवं पता
	Name & Address of the Appellant & Respondent

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way:

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :

M/s. Knack Packging

## Revision application to Government of India:

- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अंतर्गत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूवोक्त धारा को उप—धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अवर सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।
- (i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid:
- (ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।
- (ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.
- (ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।
- (b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।
- (C) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan without payment of duty.

- ध अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केंडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।
- (d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए—8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल—आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो—दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35—इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर—6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/— फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/— की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपीलः— Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35— ण्वी/35—इ के अंतर्गत:— Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-
- (क) वर्गीकरण मूल्यांकन से संबंधित सभी मामले सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की विशेष पीठिका वेस्ट ब्लॉंक नं. 3. आर. के. पुरम, नई दिल्ली को एवं
- (a) the special bench of Custom, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal of West Block No.2, R.K. Puram, New Delhi-1 in all matters relating to classification valuation and.
- (ख) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलों के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ—20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद—380016.
- (b) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad: 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.
- (2) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 की धारा 6 के अंतर्गत प्रपत्र इ.ए—3 में निर्धारित किए अनुसार अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरणें की गई अपील के विरुद्ध अपील किए गए आदेश की चार प्रतियाँ सहित जहाँ उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या उससे कम है वहां रूपए 1000/— फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या 50 लाख तक हो तो रूपए 5000/— फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या उससे ज्यादा है वहां रूपए 10000/— फीस भेजनी होगी। की फीस सहायक रजिस्टार के नाम से रेखािकत बैंक ड्राफ्ट के रूप में संबंध की जाये। यह ड्राफ्ट उस स्थान के किसी नामित सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक की शाखा का हो

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/-, and Rs/10,000/-, where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50/Lac and above 50/Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registary of a branch of any

अहमदाबाद अहमदाबाद nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि—1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall beer a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paisa as prescribed under scheduled-l item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (सीस्तेत) के प्रति अपीलों के मामलों में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, १९४४ की धारा ३५फ के अंतर्गत वित्तीय(संख्या-२) अधिनियम २०१४(२०१४ की संख्या २५) दिनांक: ०६.०८.२०१४ जो की वित्तीय अधिनियम, १९९४ की धारा ८३ के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, द्वारा निश्चित की गई पूर्व-राशि जमा करना अनिवार्य है, बशर्त कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा की जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि दस करोड़ रूपए से अधिक न हो

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत " माँग किए गए शुल्क " में निम्न शामिल है

- (i) धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत निर्धारित रकम
- (ii) सेनवैट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि
- (iii) सेनवैट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम

→ आगे बशर्ते यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वित्तीय (सं. 2) अधिनियम, 2014 के आरम्भ से पूर्व किसी अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विचाराधीन स्थगन अर्ज़ी एवं अपील को लागू नहीं होगे।

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, it is mandatory to pre-deposit an amount specified under the Finance (No. 2) Act, 2014 (No. 25 of 2014) dated 06.08.2014, under section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994 provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to ceiling of Rs. Ten Crores,

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

→Provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.

(6)(i) इस संदर्भ में, इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है ।

(6)(i) In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."

## ORDER-IN-APPEAL

This appeal has been filed by M/s Knack Packaging, Plot No.58, Opp.Amrut Spintex P Ltd., Khatraj-Kalol Road, Village- Katraj, Kalol-Tal, Gandhinagar Dist. (hereinafter referred to as "the appellant") against Order-in-Original No.15/CE/Ref/DC/2015-16-Refund dated 16.06.2015 (hereinafter referred to "the impugned order") passed by the Deputy Commissioner of Central Excise, Kalol Division (hereinafter referred to as "the adjudicating authority).

- Brief facts of the case is that the appellant had filed a refund claim of 2. Rs.2,85,000/- on 15.04.2015 before the adjudicating authority in connection with wrong payment of central excise duty. The appellant is registered with the Central Excise Department under Registration No.AAMFK0644EEM001 and are engaged in manufacture of HDPE/PP woven bags etc. On account of mistake, the appellant had paid the said amount of Rs.2,85,000/- towards the duty liability for the month of November 2014 under their central excise registration no. AAMFK0664EM001 instead of their other unit who is also an assessee having central excise registration No.AAMFK0664EM002. realizing the mistake, the appellant again paid an amount of Rs.2,85,000/- in the correct central excise registration No. AAMFK0664EM002 and applied for the said refund claim which wrongly paid with central excise registration No. AAMFK0644EEM001. After verification of the correctness of payment from the jurisdictional range superintendent, a show cause notice dated 20.05.2015 was issued to the appellant for rejecting the claim to the appellant under the ground of unjust enrichment and to be deposited in the Consumer Welfare Fund under Section 12 C of the Central Excise Act, 1944 (CEA). The adjudicating authority has confirmed the grounds of show cause notice and sanctioned the refund claim by depositing in the Consumer Welfare Fund.
- 2. Being aggrieved, the appellant has filed the present appeal on the grounds that it was not disputed by the department that the duty was paid wrongly against the registration No.AAMFK0644EEM001 instead of registration No.AAMFK0664EM002; that no clearance was made from the said unit and as such no question of passing on duty to any other person; that in absence any central excise invoice, the question of collecting any amount in exchange of the goods just does not arise. The appellant has submitted a certificate from chartered accountant before the adjudicating authority, which clarifies that the burden of duty is not passed on because no goods were sold; that the said unit applied for surrender of registrations All the facts have been furnished before the adjudicating authority, however he failed to



appreciate the same and therefore, the impugned order deserves to be set aside.

- 3. A personal hearing in the matter was held on 13.04.2016 and Shri D.K.Trivedi, Advocate appeared for the same. He reiterated the grounds of appeal and further submitted that the refund pertains to closed unit and Chartered Accountant's certificate is also produced.
- 4. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case and the submissions made by the appellant in the appeal memorandum as well as at the time of personal hearing. The limited point to be decided in the matter is whether the appellant eligible for refund of duty amount who paid by mistake in their account.
- 4.1 I find in the instant case that there was no dispute regarding excess payment of duty amounting to Rs,2,85,000/- by the appellant by mistake against their registration No. AAMFK0644EEM001, instead of registration No. AMFK0644EEM002. The only reason made in the matter for disallowing the refund to the appellant by the adjudicating authority is applicability of doctrine of unjust enrichment. In the impugned order, the adjudicating authority has stated that the appellant has not submitted any documentary evidences regarding that the duty has not been passed on to the buyers; that even when tax is paid under a mistake where it was not to be paid at all, the principle of unjust enrichment is applicable and the onus to prove that the incidence was not actually passed on to any other person lies the claimant. The adjudicating authority further held that Section 12 B of the CEA creates a legal fiction that the manufacturer has deemed to have passed on the full incidence of duty to the buyer, under the contrary is proved.
- From the facts of the case narrated in the impugned order, it is clearly 4.2 evident that the appellant had made the payment of Rs.2,85,000/- in excess by mistake and required to be refunded. The appellant had submitted the facts before the adjudicating authority that they have surrendered their central excise registration No. AAMFK0644EEM001 in September 2014 and no manufacturing activities, clearances were made from their unit since then and the said duty amount was paid on 05.12.2014 by mistake against other unit registration No. AAMFK0644EEM002 for the month of November 2014. I find that the doctrine of unjust enrichment is applicable in case where there is buyer of manufactured goods. The appellant has strongly argued before the adjudicating authority that there was no manufacturing activities and clearance of goods from their unit since September 2014. However, the adjudicating authority has not looked into such facts submitted by the appellant, even though all the records were in the possession of the department. The prime duty of the refund sanctioning authority is to verify the details of refund claim filed before him. The adjudicating authority could



have also verified from the records as to whether appellant has stopped their manufacturing activities from September 2014 onwards and whether the amount of excess duty said to have been paid on 05.12.2014 are actually related to any clearance of goods or otherwise. From the facts of the instant case, it appears that the refund claim pertained to excess payment made by the appellant by mistake and but does not pertain to excess duty paid towards clearance of goods. In such circumstances, the doctrine of unjust enrichment is not applicable to the case. However, no such discussion regarding appellant submissions was made in the impugned order by the adjudicating authority and just applied provisions of Section 12 B of CEA and sanctioned the refund to the Consumer Welfare Fund. Appellant have also submitted a Chartered Accountant Certificate dated 11.08.2015 stating above position.

- 4.3 In view of above discussion, I set aside the impugned order and remand back the case to the adjudicating authority to verify the facts submitted by the appellant in reply to the show cause notice and decide the refund application afresh in view of above discussion. The appellant is also having at liberty to file their submission afresh before the adjudicating authority. Needless to say that principle of natural justice may be followed before deciding the case and case be decided within 60 days.
- 4.4 I dispose of the case accordingly.

(UMA SHANKER)

Date: 26/04/2016

COMMISSIONER (APPEALS-I) CENTRAL EXCISE, AHMEDABAD

Attested

(Mohanan V.V)

Superintendent (Appeal-I) Central Excise, Ahmedabad

BY R.P.A.D

To, M/s Knack Packaging, Plot No.58, Opp.Amrut Spintex P Ltd., Khatraj-Kalol Road, Village- Katraj, Kalol-Tal, Gandhinagar Dist



## Copy to:-

- 1. The Chief Commissioner, Central Excise Zone, Ahmedabad.
- 2. The Commissioner, Central Excise, Ahmedabad-III
- 3. The Addl./Joint Commissioner, (Systems), Central Excise, Ahmedabad-III
- 4. The Dy. / Asstt. Commissioner, Central Excise, Division- Kalol, Ahmedabad-III
- න්. Guard file.
- 6. P.A file.